A First Course in Network Theory Reminders and Basics about Graphs and Networks

Luce le Gorrec, Philip Knight, Francesca Arrigo

University of Strathclyde, Glasgow

Complex Networks are Graphs used to represent complex systems.

Complex Networks are Graphs used to represent complex systems.

Complex Systems Systems composed of a large number of simple elements in interaction and exhibiting emerging phenomena¹.

¹https://www.naxys.be/

Complex Networks are Graphs used to represent complex systems.

Complex Systems Systems composed of a large number of simple elements in interaction and exhibiting emerging phenomena¹.

Examples of complex networks

- Social networks,
- Biological networks,
- Transports,
- Language,
- Technological,
- Etc.

¹https://www.naxys.be/

<u>Complex Networks</u> are Graphs used to represent **complex systems**. <u>Complex Systems</u> *Systems composed of a* **large number of simple elements in interaction** *and exhibiting emerging phenomena*¹.

Examples of complex networks

- Social networks,
- Biological networks,
- Transports,
- Language,
- Technological,
- Etc.



¹https://www.naxys.be/

<u>Complex Networks</u> are Graphs used to represent **complex systems**. <u>Complex Systems</u> *Systems composed of a* **large number of simple elements in interaction** *and exhibiting emerging phenomena*¹.

Examples of complex networks

- Social networks,
- Biological networks,
- Transports,
- Language,
- Technological,
- Etc.



¹https://www.naxys.be/

L. le Gorrec - P. Knight - F. Arrigo

Complex Networks are Graphs used to represent complex systems.

Complex Systems Systems composed of a large number of simple elements in interaction and exhibiting emerging phenomena¹.

Examples of complex networks

- Social networks,
- Biological networks,
- Transports,
- Language,
- Technological,
- Etc.

¹https://www.naxys.be/

<u>Complex Networks</u> are Graphs used to represent **complex systems**. <u>Complex Systems</u> *Systems composed of a* **large number of simple elements in interaction** *and exhibiting emerging phenomena*¹.

Examples of complex networks

- Social networks,
- Biological networks,
- Transports,
- Language,
- Technological,
- Etc.



¹https://www.naxys.be/

<u>Complex Networks</u> are Graphs used to represent **complex systems**. <u>Complex Systems</u> *Systems composed of a* **large number of simple elements in interaction** *and exhibiting emerging phenomena*¹.

Examples of complex networks

- Social networks,
- Biological networks,
- Transports,
- Language,
- Technological,
- Etc.





¹https://www.naxys.be/

Complex Networks are Graphs used to represent complex systems.

Complex Systems Systems composed of a large number of simple elements in interaction and exhibiting emerging phenomena¹.

Examples of complex networks

- Social networks,
- Biological networks,
- Transports,
- Language,
- Technological,
- Etc.

¹https://www.naxys.be/

Complex Networks are Graphs used to represent complex systems.

Complex Systems Systems composed of a large number of simple elements in interaction and exhibiting emerging phenomena¹.

Examples of complex networks

- Social networks,
- Biological networks,
- Transports,
- Language,
- Technological,
- Etc.



¹https://www.naxys.be/

Complex Networks are Graphs used to represent complex systems.

Complex Systems Systems composed of a large number of simple elements in interaction and exhibiting emerging phenomena¹.

Examples of complex networks

- Social networks,
- Biological networks,
- Transports,
- Language,
- Technological,
- Etc.

¹https://www.naxys.be/

Complex Networks are Graphs used to represent complex systems.

Complex Systems Systems composed of a large number of simple elements in interaction and exhibiting emerging phenomena¹.

Examples of complex networks

- Social networks,
- Biological networks,
- Transports,
- Language,
- Technological,
- Etc.



¹https://www.naxys.be/

L. le Gorrec - P. Knight - F. Arrigo

Complex Networks are Graphs used to represent complex systems.

Complex Systems Systems composed of a large number of simple elements in interaction and exhibiting emerging phenomena¹.

Examples of complex networks

- Social networks,
- Biological networks,
- Transports,
- Language,
- Technological,
- Etc.

¹https://www.naxys.be/

<u>Definition</u> A Graph or Network $G = (V, E, \omega)$ is a tuple of:

- a set *V*, called the vertex set (or node set). Elements of *V* are called **vertices or nodes**.
- a set E ⊂ V × V, called the edge set (or link set). Elements in E are called edges or links.
- an application $\omega: E \to \Omega$, called the **edge weight function**.

<u>Definition</u> A Graph or Network $G = (V, E, \omega)$ is a tuple of:

- a set *V*, called the vertex set (or node set). Elements of *V* are called **vertices or nodes**.
- a set E ⊂ V × V, called the edge set (or link set). Elements in E are called edges or links.
- an application $\omega: E \to \Omega$, called the **edge weight function**.



<u>Definition</u> A Graph or Network $G = (V, E, \omega)$ is a tuple of:

- a set *V*, called the vertex set (or node set). Elements of *V* are called **vertices or nodes**.
- a set E ⊂ V × V, called the edge set (or link set). Elements in E are called edges or links.
- an application $\omega: E \to \Omega$, called the **edge weight function**.





<u>Remark</u> If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{N}$, possibility to define multi-graph instead.

<u>Definition</u> A Graph or Network $G = (V, E, \omega)$ is a tuple of:

- a set *V*, called the vertex set (or node set). Elements of *V* are called **vertices or nodes**.
- a set E ⊂ V × V, called the edge set (or link set). Elements in E are called edges or links.
- an application $\omega: E \to \Omega$, called the **edge weight function**.





<u>Remark</u> If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{N}$, possibility to define multi-graph instead. <u>Remark</u> With this definition, information about nodes is lost.

L. le Gorrec - P. Knight - F. Arrigo

Complex Network

<u>Definitions</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$, and an edge $e \in E$:

• If e(1) = e(2), then e is called a **(self-)loop**.

NB If $\forall e \in E$, e is **not** a self-loop, then G is called **anti-reflexive**.

When ω : E → Ω = {ω₀}, then G is called an unweighted graph and ω may be omitted: G = (V, E).

• If
$$\exists f \in E$$
:
$$\begin{cases} f(1) = e(2) \\ f(2) = e(1) \\ \omega(f) = \omega(e) \end{cases}$$
, then e is called a **bi-directed edge**.

NB If all edges are bi-directed, then *G* is said to be **undirected or non-directed**. One can choose to count each edge only once.

<u>Definitions</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$, and an edge $e \in E$: • If e(1) = e(2), then e is called a **(self-)loop**. NB If $\forall e \in E$, e is **not** a self-loop, then G is called **anti-reflexive**.

When ω : E → Ω = {ω₀}, then G is called an unweighted graph and ω may be omitted: G = (V, E).

• If
$$\exists f \in E$$
:
$$\begin{cases} f(1) = e(2) \\ f(2) = e(1) \\ \omega(f) = \omega(e) \end{cases}$$
, then e is called a **bi-directed edge**.

NB If all edges are bi-directed, then G is said to be **undirected or non-directed**. One can choose to count each edge only once.

<u>Definitions</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$, and an edge $e \in E$:

• If e(1) = e(2), then e is called a **(self-)loop**.

NB If $\forall e \in E$, e is **not** a self-loop, then G is called **anti-reflexive**.

When ω : E → Ω = {ω₀}, then G is called an unweighted graph and ω may be omitted: G = (V, E).

• If
$$\exists f \in E : \begin{cases} f(1) = e(2) \\ f(2) = e(1) \\ \omega(f) = \omega(e) \end{cases}$$
, then *e* is called a **bi-directed edge**.

NB If all edges are bi-directed, then *G* is said to be **undirected or non-directed**. One can choose to count each edge only once.

<u>Definitions</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$, and an edge $e \in E$:

• If e(1) = e(2), then e is called a **(self-)loop**.

NB If $\forall e \in E$, e is **not** a self-loop, then G is called **anti-reflexive**.

When ω : E → Ω = {ω₀}, then G is called an unweighted graph and ω may be omitted: G = (V, E).

• If
$$\exists f \in E : \begin{cases} f(1) = e(2) \\ f(2) = e(1) \\ \omega(f) = \omega(e) \end{cases}$$
, then *e* is called a **bi-directed edge**.

NB If all edges are bi-directed, then *G* is said to be **undirected or non-directed**. One can choose to count each edge only once.

<u>Definitions</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$, and an edge $e \in E$:

• If e(1) = e(2), then e is called a **(self-)loop**.

NB If $\forall e \in E$, e is **not** a self-loop, then G is called **anti-reflexive**.

When ω : E → Ω = {ω₀}, then G is called an unweighted graph and ω may be omitted: G = (V, E).

• If
$$\exists f \in E$$
:
$$\begin{cases} f(1) = e(2) \\ f(2) = e(1) \\ \omega(f) = \omega(e) \end{cases}$$
, then e is called a **bi-directed edge**.

NB If all edges are bi-directed, then *G* is said to be **undirected or non-directed**. One can choose to count each edge only once.

Representing Graphs – Sets, Drawings and Matrices Sets and Drawings

Representing Graphs – Sets, Drawings and Matrices

Sets and Drawings

<u>Matrix representations</u> We enforce $V = \{1, ..., n\}$ with n = |V|.

• Incidence: Given G = (V, E) anti-reflexive and unweighted, with $m = |E = \{e_1, ..., e_m\}|$, the so-called incidence matrix of G is a

matrix
$$\mathbf{B} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{n \times m}$$
 s.t. $b(i, k) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } e_k(1) = i \\ 1 & \text{if } e_k(2) = i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

- NB For undirected graphs: two definitions.
 - Adjacency: Given $G = (V, E, \omega)$, the so-called adjacency matrix of G is a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \{\{0\} \cup \Omega\}^{n \times n}$ s.t. $a(i,j) = \begin{cases} \omega((i,j)) & \text{if } (i,j) \in E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

NB Undirected graphs have symmetric adjacency matrices. Adjacency matrices of anti-reflexive graphs have 0 diagonal.

Representing Graphs – Sets, Drawings and Matrices

Sets and Drawings

<u>Matrix representations</u> We enforce $V = \{1, ..., n\}$ with n = |V|.

• Incidence: Given G = (V, E) anti-reflexive and unweighted, with $m = |E = \{e_1, ..., e_m\}|$, the so-called incidence matrix of G is a

matrix
$$\mathbf{B} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{n \times m}$$
 s.t. $b(i, k) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } e_k(1) = i \\ 1 & \text{if } e_k(2) = i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

NB For undirected graphs: two definitions.

• Adjacency: Given $G = (V, E, \omega)$, the so-called adjacency matrix of G is a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \{\{0\} \cup \Omega\}^{n \times n}$ s.t. $a(i,j) = \begin{cases} \omega((i,j)) & \text{if } (i,j) \in E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

NB Undirected graphs have symmetric adjacency matrices. Adjacency matrices of anti-reflexive graphs have 0 diagonal.

Representing Graphs – Sets, Drawings and Matrices

Sets and Drawings

<u>Matrix representations</u> We enforce $V = \{1, ..., n\}$ with n = |V|.

• Incidence: Given G = (V, E) anti-reflexive and unweighted, with $m = |E = \{e_1, ..., e_m\}|$, the so-called incidence matrix of G is a

matrix
$$\mathbf{B} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{n \times m}$$
 s.t. $b(i, k) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } e_k(1) = i \\ 1 & \text{if } e_k(2) = i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

NB For undirected graphs: two definitions.

- Adjacency: Given $G = (V, E, \omega)$, the so-called adjacency matrix of G is a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \{\{0\} \cup \Omega\}^{n \times n}$ s.t. $a(i,j) = \begin{cases} \omega((i,j)) & \text{if } (i,j) \in E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- NB Undirected graphs have symmetric adjacency matrices. Adjacency matrices of anti-reflexive graphs have 0 diagonal.

 $\underline{Question:}$ How many adjacency matrices/incidence matrices possible for one graph ?

L. le Gorrec - P. Knight - F. Arrigo

Representing Graphs – Isomorphisms

<u>Definition</u> Two graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1, \omega_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2, \omega_2)$ are called **isomorphic** if

- $|V_1| = |V_2|$.
- $|E_1| = |E_2|$.
- $\exists s: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ a bijection s.t. $(i,j) \in E_1 \iff (s(i),s(j)) \in E_2$.

•
$$\forall (i,j) \in E_1, \omega_1((i,j)) = \omega_2((s(i),s(j))).$$

Representing Graphs – Isomorphisms

<u>Definition</u> Two graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1, \omega_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2, \omega_2)$ are called **isomorphic** if

- $|V_1| = |V_2|.$
- $|E_1| = |E_2|$.
- $\exists s: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ a bijection s.t. $(i,j) \in E_1 \iff (s(i),s(j)) \in E_2$.

•
$$\forall (i,j) \in E_1, \omega_1((i,j)) = \omega_2((s(i),s(j))).$$

<u>Remark</u> One can say that G_2 is the graph G_1 in which nodes have been **relabelled** by s, which is thus called a **relabelling**.

Representing Graphs – Isomorphisms

<u>Definition</u> Two graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1, \omega_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2, \omega_2)$ are called **isomorphic** if

- $|V_1| = |V_2|$.
- $|E_1| = |E_2|$.
- $\exists s: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ a bijection s.t. $(i,j) \in E_1 \iff (s(i),s(j)) \in E_2$.

•
$$\forall (i,j) \in E_1, \omega_1((i,j)) = \omega_2((s(i),s(j))).$$

<u>Remark</u> One can say that G_2 is the graph G_1 in which nodes have been **relabelled** by *s*, which is thus called a **relabelling**.

<u>Characterisation</u> Two graphs of adjacency matrices respectively A_1 and A_2 are isomorphic iff A_1 can be obtained from simultaneous permutations of rows and columns of A_2 .

<u>Definition</u> A matrix $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}_+$ is called **bi-stochastic** if $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$.

<u>Definition</u> A matrix $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}_+$ is called **bi-stochastic** if $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$.

<u>Definition</u> A bi-stochastic matrix $\mathbf{P} \in \{0,1\}^{n \times n}$ is a **permutation matrix**.

<u>Definition</u> A matrix $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}_+$ is called **bi-stochastic** if $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$.

<u>Definition</u> A bi-stochastic matrix $\mathbf{P} \in \{0,1\}^{n \times n}$ is a **permutation matrix**. <u>Remark</u> This means that \mathbf{P} has exactly one 1 per row and per column. <u>Exercise</u> Prove that $\mathbf{P}^{T} = \mathbf{P}^{-1}$.

<u>Definition</u> A matrix $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}_+$ is called **bi-stochastic** if $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$.

<u>Definition</u> A bi-stochastic matrix $\mathbf{P} \in \{0,1\}^{n \times n}$ is a **permutation matrix**. <u>Remark</u> This means that \mathbf{P} has exactly one 1 per row and per column. <u>Exercise</u> Prove that $\mathbf{P}^{T} = \mathbf{P}^{-1}$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Property Given } \sigma: \{1,...,n\} \rightarrow \{1,...,n\} \mbox{ a permutation. The matrix} \\ \hline \mbox{\bf P} \in \{0,1\}^{n \times n} \mbox{ s.t. } p(i,j) = 1 \iff j = \sigma(i) \mbox{ is a permutation matrix, and} \end{array} \end{array}$

$$\forall \mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \begin{cases} \mathbf{P}\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}([\sigma(1), ..., \sigma(n)], :) \\ \mathbf{M}\mathbf{P}^{T} = \mathbf{M}(:, [\sigma(1), ..., \sigma(n)]) \end{cases}$$

Proof Exercise

<u>Definition</u> A matrix $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}_+$ is called **bi-stochastic** if $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{M}^T\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$.

<u>Definition</u> A bi-stochastic matrix $\mathbf{P} \in \{0,1\}^{n \times n}$ is a **permutation matrix**. <u>Remark</u> This means that \mathbf{P} has exactly one 1 per row and per column. <u>Exercise</u> Prove that $\mathbf{P}^{T} = \mathbf{P}^{-1}$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \frac{\text{Property Given } \sigma: \{1,...,n\} \rightarrow \{1,...,n\} \text{ a permutation. The matrix} \\ \overline{\mathbf{P} \in \{0,1\}}^{n \times n} \text{ s.t. } p(i,j) = 1 \iff j = \sigma(i) \text{ is a permutation matrix, and} \end{array}$

$$\forall \mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \begin{cases} \mathsf{P}\mathbf{M} = \mathsf{M}([\sigma(1), ..., \sigma(n)], :) \\ \mathsf{M}\mathsf{P}^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathsf{M}(:, [\sigma(1), ..., \sigma(n)]) \end{cases}$$

Proof Exercise

<u>Characterisation</u> Two graphs of adjacency matrices respectively \mathbf{A}_1 and \mathbf{A}_2 are isomorphic iff $\exists \mathbf{P} \in \{0,1\}^{n \times n}$ a permutation matrix s.t. $\mathbf{A}_1 = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{A}_2\mathbf{P}^T$.

7/11

<u>Definition</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$ and a node $v \in V$, we call:

- The out-neighbourhood of v the set N_{out}(v) = {u : (v, u) ∈ E}, Its cardinal is called the out-degree of v: d_{out}(v) = |N_{out}(v)|.
- The in-neighbourhood of v the set $\mathcal{N}_{in}(v) = \{u : (u, v) \in E\}$. Its cardinal is called the in-degree of v: $d_{in}(v) = |\mathcal{N}_{in}(v)|$.
- The neighbourhood of v the set N(v) = N_{in}(v) ∪ N_{out}(v).
 Its cardinal is called the degree of v: d(v) = |N(v)|

Exercise Given G = (V, E) an unweighted graph, express the in- and out-degrees of a node $v \in V$ using G's adjacency matrix, then G's incidence matrix.

<u>Definition</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$ and a node $v \in V$, we call:

- The out-neighbourhood of v the set N_{out}(v) = {u : (v, u) ∈ E}, Its cardinal is called the out-degree of v: d_{out}(v) = |N_{out}(v)|.
- The in-neighbourhood of v the set $\mathcal{N}_{in}(v) = \{u : (u, v) \in E\}$. Its cardinal is called the in-degree of v: $d_{in}(v) = |\mathcal{N}_{in}(v)|$.
- The neighbourhood of v the set N(v) = N_{in}(v) ∪ N_{out}(v).
 Its cardinal is called the degree of v: d(v) = |N(v)|

Exercise Given G = (V, E) an unweighted graph, express the in- and out-degrees of a node $v \in V$ using G's adjacency matrix, then G's incidence matrix.

<u>Definition</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$ and a node $v \in V$, we call:

- The out-neighbourhood of v the set N_{out}(v) = {u : (v, u) ∈ E}, Its cardinal is called the out-degree of v: d_{out}(v) = |N_{out}(v)|.
- The in-neighbourhood of v the set $\mathcal{N}_{in}(v) = \{u : (u, v) \in E\}$. Its cardinal is called the in-degree of v: $d_{in}(v) = |\mathcal{N}_{in}(v)|$.
- The neighbourhood of v the set N(v) = N_{in}(v) ∪ N_{out}(v).
 Its cardinal is called the degree of v: d(v) = |N(v)|

Exercise Given G = (V, E) an unweighted graph, express the in- and out-degrees of a node $v \in V$ using G's adjacency matrix, then G's incidence matrix.

<u>Definition</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$ and a node $v \in V$, we call:

- The out-neighbourhood of v the set N_{out}(v) = {u : (v, u) ∈ E}, Its cardinal is called the out-degree of v: d_{out}(v) = |N_{out}(v)|.
- The in-neighbourhood of v the set $\mathcal{N}_{in}(v) = \{u : (u, v) \in E\}$. Its cardinal is called the in-degree of v: $d_{in}(v) = |\mathcal{N}_{in}(v)|$.
- The neighbourhood of v the set N(v) = N_{in}(v) ∪ N_{out}(v).
 Its cardinal is called the degree of v: d(v) = |N(v)|

Exercise Given G = (V, E) an unweighted graph, express the in- and out-degrees of a node $v \in V$ using G's adjacency matrix, then G's incidence matrix.

8/11

Connectivity - Interlude on weighted degrees

<u>Definition</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$ and a node $v \in V$, thus:

• The weighted out-degree of v is

$$d_{out}^{\omega}(v) = \sum_{u:(v,u)\in E} \omega((v,u)).$$

• The weighted in-degree of v is

$$d_{in}^{\omega}(v) = \sum_{u:(u,v)\in E} \omega((u,v)).$$

• If G is **non-directed**, the **weighted degree of** v is

$$d^{\omega}(v) = \sum_{u:\{u,v\}\in E} \omega((u,v)).$$

<u>Exercise</u> Explain the limitation of weighted degree to non-directed graph. Any idea for extending this notion to directed graphs?

L. le Gorrec - P. Knight - F. Arrigo

Complex Networks

<u>Definition</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$, and $u, v \in V$, a **path from** u to v is a sequence of edges $e_1, ..., e_k \in E$ s.t.

$$i$$
): $e_1(1) = u$, ii): $e_k(2) = v$, iii): $\forall i \in \{1, ..., k-1\}, e_i(2) = e_{i+1}(1)$.

The size of the sequence is called the **length of the path**. A path of length k can be called a **k-path**.

<u>Definition</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$, and $u, v \in V$, a **path from** u to v is a sequence of edges $e_1, ..., e_k \in E$ s.t.

i): $e_1(1) = u$, ii): $e_k(2) = v$, iii): $\forall i \in \{1, ..., k-1\}, e_i(2) = e_{i+1}(1)$.

The size of the sequence is called the **length of the path**. A path of length k can be called a **k-path**.

<u>Definition</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$ and a node $v \in V$, thus:

- The *k*-hop out-neighbourhood of *v* is the set $\mathcal{N}_{out}^k(v) = \{u : \exists k\text{-path from } u \text{ to } v\}.$
- The *k*-hop in-neighbourhood of *v* is the set $\mathcal{N}_{in}^{k}(v) = \{u : \exists k\text{-path from } v \text{ to } u\}.$
- The *k*-hop neighbourhood of *v* is $\mathcal{N}^k(v) = \mathcal{N}^k_{out}(v) \cup \mathcal{N}^k_{in}(v)$.

<u>Definition</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$, and $u, v \in V$, a **path from** u to v is a sequence of edges $e_1, ..., e_k \in E$ s.t.

i): $e_1(1) = u$, ii): $e_k(2) = v$, iii): $\forall i \in \{1, ..., k-1\}, e_i(2) = e_{i+1}(1)$.

The size of the sequence is called the **length of the path**. A path of length k can be called a **k-path**.

<u>Definition</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$ and a node $v \in V$, thus:

- The *k*-hop out-neighbourhood of *v* is the set $\mathcal{N}_{out}^k(v) = \{u : \exists k\text{-path from } u \text{ to } v\}.$
- The k-hop in-neighbourhood of v is the set $\mathcal{N}_{in}^{k}(v) = \{u : \exists k\text{-path from } v \text{ to } u\}.$
- The *k*-hop neighbourhood of *v* is $\mathcal{N}^k(v) = \mathcal{N}_{out}^k(v) \cup \mathcal{N}_{in}^k(v)$.

<u>Definition</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$, and $u, v \in V$, a **path from** u **to** v is a sequence of edges $e_1, ..., e_k \in E$ s.t.

i): $e_1(1) = u$, ii): $e_k(2) = v$, iii): $\forall i \in \{1, ..., k-1\}, e_i(2) = e_{i+1}(1)$.

The size of the sequence is called the **length of the path**. A path of length k can be called a **k-path**.

<u>Definition</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$ and a node $v \in V$, thus:

- The *k*-hop out-neighbourhood of *v* is the set $\mathcal{N}_{out}^k(v) = \{u : \exists k\text{-path from } u \text{ to } v\}.$
- The *k*-hop in-neighbourhood of *v* is the set $\mathcal{N}_{in}^{k}(v) = \{u : \exists k\text{-path from } v \text{ to } u\}.$
- The k-hop neighbourhood of v is $\mathcal{N}^k(v) = \mathcal{N}^k_{out}(v) \cup \mathcal{N}^k_{in}(v)$.

<u>Definition</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$, and $u, v \in V$, a **path from** u to v is a sequence of edges $e_1, ..., e_k \in E$ s.t.

i): $e_1(1) = u$, ii): $e_k(2) = v$, iii): $\forall i \in \{1, ..., k-1\}, e_i(2) = e_{i+1}(1)$.

The size of the sequence is called the **length of the path**. A path of length k can be called a **k-path**.

<u>Definition</u> Given a graph $G = (V, E, \omega)$ and a node $v \in V$, thus:

- The *k*-hop out-neighbourhood of *v* is the set $\mathcal{N}_{out}^k(v) = \{u : \exists k\text{-path from } u \text{ to } v\}.$
- The *k*-hop in-neighbourhood of *v* is the set $\mathcal{N}_{in}^{k}(v) = \{u : \exists k\text{-path from } v \text{ to } u\}.$
- The *k*-hop neighbourhood of *v* is $\mathcal{N}^k(v) = \mathcal{N}_{out}^k(v) \cup \mathcal{N}_{in}^k(v)$.

<u>Property</u> Given $\mathbf{A} \in \{0,1\}^{n \times n}$ the adjacency matrix of an unweighted graph, the value of $a^k(i,j)$ is the number of k-paths from node *i* to node *j*. <u>Proof</u> Exercise.

Connectivity – Irreducibility

<u>Definition</u> A graph is said to be **(strongly) connected** if $\forall (u, v) \in V^2$, it exists a path from u to v. It is said to be **weakly connected** if the **underlying undirected** graph is connected.

Connectivity – Irreducibility

<u>Definition</u> A graph is said to be **(strongly) connected** if $\forall (u, v) \in V^2$, it exists a path from u to v. It is said to be **weakly connected** if the **underlying undirected** graph is connected.

<u>Remark</u> Every strongly connected graph is weakly connected. The opposite is false.

Connectivity - Irreducibility

<u>Definition</u> A graph is said to be **(strongly) connected** if $\forall (u, v) \in V^2$, it exists a path from u to v. It is said to be **weakly connected** if the **underlying undirected** graph is connected.

<u>Remark</u> Every strongly connected graph is weakly connected. The opposite is false.

<u>Definition</u> A matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is called **irreducible** if it **cannot** be written as

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 & * \\ 0 & \mathbf{A}_2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}^{\mathcal{T}}, \text{ with } \mathbf{P} \text{ a permutation matrix and } \mathbf{A}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_1}$$

Connectivity - Irreducibility

<u>Definition</u> A graph is said to be **(strongly) connected** if $\forall (u, v) \in V^2$, it exists a path from u to v. It is said to be **weakly connected** if the **underlying undirected** graph is connected.

<u>Remark</u> Every strongly connected graph is weakly connected. The opposite is false.

<u>Definition</u> A matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is called **irreducible** if it **cannot** be written as

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 & * \\ 0 & \mathbf{A}_2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}^{\mathcal{T}}, \text{ with } \mathbf{P} \text{ a permutation matrix and } \mathbf{A}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_1}$$

<u>Characterisation</u> **Irreducible matrices** are adjacency matrices of **strongly connected graphs**. <u>Proof</u> Exercise.